

Propositional Equivalence

Alvin Lin

Discrete Math for Computing: January 2017 - May 2017

Propositional Equivalence

$p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ have the same truth table. An implication and its contrapositive are always logically equivalent. A compound proposition is a:

1. **tautology** if it is always true.
2. **contradiction** if it is always false.
3. **contingency** if for some values it is true and some it is false.

$p \vee \neg p$ is a tautology. $p \wedge \neg p$ is a contradiction.

Logical Equivalence

The compound propositions p and q are logically equivalent if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.

Notation: We write $p \equiv q$ if p and q are logically equivalent.

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \vee q$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

$$p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$$

$$p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

De Morgan's Laws

1. $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

2. $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

| p | q | $\neg(p \wedge q)$ | $\neg p \vee \neg q$ | $\neg(p \vee q)$ | $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ |
|-----|-----|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| T | T | F | F | F | F |
| T | F | T | T | F | F |
| F | T | T | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | T | T | T |

Example

Show that $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$:

| p | q | r | $q \wedge r$ | $p \vee q$ | $p \vee r$ | $p \vee (q \wedge r)$ | $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ |
|-----|-----|-----|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | T | F | F | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | F | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | F | T | F | F | F |
| F | F | T | F | F | T | F | F |
| F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F |

Laws of Logical Equivalence

1. Identity Law:

- $p \wedge T \equiv p$
- $p \vee F \equiv p$

2. Domination Law:

- $p \vee T \equiv T$
- $p \wedge F \equiv F$

3. Idempotent Law:

- $p \vee p \equiv p$

- $p \wedge p \equiv p$

4. Double Negation:

- $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$

5. Commutative Laws:

- $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$

- $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$

6. Associative Laws:

- $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$

- $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$

7. Distributive Laws:

- $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

- $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$

8. De Morgan's Laws:

- $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

- $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

9. Absorption Laws:

- $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$

- $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$

10. Negation:

- $p \vee \neg p \equiv T$

- $p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$

Example

Show $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ and $p \wedge \neg q$ are logically equivalent using the laws.

Hint: $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$

$$\begin{aligned} p \rightarrow q &\equiv \neg p \vee q \\ &\equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \\ &\equiv \neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg q \\ &\equiv p \wedge \neg q \end{aligned}$$

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns, please contact me at alvin@omgimanerd.tech